

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

An exact result for the magnetisation of the Kagome lattice Ising model with magnetic field

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 3435 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/22/16/033)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 06:59

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## COMMENT

## An exact result for the magnetisation of the Kagomé lattice Ising model with magnetic field

K Y Lin

Physics Department, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan

Received 13 March 1989

Abstract. We consider the anisotropic Kagomé lattice Ising model with three interaction parameters  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$  and a magnetic field H. It is shown that the magnetisation can be determined exactly for an appropriate relation between  $K_i$  and H.

In a recent letter, Giacomini (1988a)<sup>†</sup> derived exactly the partition function of the anisotropic Kagomé lattice Ising model for an appropriate relation between the interaction parameters  $K_i$  and the magnetic field H. We shall demonstrate that the magnetisation can also be derived exactly for the same relation. We shall follow his notation.

The magnetisation at the site denoted by  $\sigma_5$  is

$$\langle \sigma_5 \rangle_{\mathbf{K}} = \left( \sum_{(\sigma)} \sigma_5 \prod W \right) [\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{Kag}}(\mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_2, \mathbf{K}_3, \mathbf{H})]^{-1}.$$
 (1)

The Kagomé lattice can be transformed into a decorated honeycomb lattice with interaction parameters  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ ,  $M_3$  such that

$$Z_{\text{Kag}}(K_1, K_2, K_3, H) = R^{2N} Z_{\text{dec}}(M_1, M_2, M_3, H)$$
(2)

$$\langle \sigma_5 \rangle_K = \left( \sum_{(\sigma,S)} \sigma_5 \prod W' \right) Z_{dec}^{-1}$$
 (3)

where

$$W'(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{4}, \sigma_{5}, S_{1}, S_{2})$$
  
= exp[S<sub>1</sub>(M<sub>1</sub>\sigma<sub>3</sub> + M<sub>2</sub>\sigma<sub>4</sub> + M<sub>3</sub>\sigma<sub>5</sub>) + S<sub>2</sub>(M<sub>1</sub>\sigma<sub>1</sub> + M<sub>2</sub>\sigma<sub>2</sub> + M<sub>3</sub>\sigma<sub>5</sub>)  
+ H\sigma\_{5} + \frac{1}{2}H(\sigma\_{1} + \sigma\_{2} + \sigma\_{3} + \sigma\_{4})]. (4)

After the decimation of the spins  $\sigma_i$ , the decorated honeycomb lattice can be transformed into a honeycomb lattice with interaction parameters  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$  and a magnetic field  $\bar{H}$  such that

$$Z_{dec}(M_1, M_2, M_3, H) = (A_1 A_2 A_3)^N Z_{honey}(L_1, L_2, L_3, \bar{H}).$$
(5)

It follows from the identity

$$\sum_{\sigma_5} \sigma_5 W' = \tanh[M_3(S_1 + S_2) + H] \sum_{\sigma_5} W'$$
(6)

that we have

$$\langle \sigma_5 \rangle_{\kappa} = \langle \tanh[M_3(S_1 + S_2) + H] \rangle_{\text{honey}} = a + b(\langle S_1 \rangle + \langle S_2 \rangle) + c \langle S_1 S_2 \rangle$$
(7)

<sup>+</sup> A factor of  $\sinh(2K_l)$  is missing on the second term in the RHS of equation (6) in this letter. The RHS of (15) should be  $\alpha^2[...]/4$ .

0305-4470/89/163435+02\$02.50 © 1989 IOP Publishing Ltd

where

$$4a = \tanh(2M_3 + H) - \tanh(2M_3 - H) + 2 \tanh H$$
  

$$4b = \tanh(2M_3 + H) + \tanh(2M_3 - H)$$
  

$$4c = \tanh(2M_3 + H) - \tanh(2M_3 - H) - 2 \tanh H.$$
  
(8)

At H = 0, the site magnetisation (Naya 1951) and the nearest-neighbour spin-spin correlation (Baxter 1982) are known. At  $H = i\pi/2$ , the magnetisation and the spin-spin correlation can be obtained from the corresponding expressions in zero field by the replacement (Lin and Wu 1988)  $M_3 \rightarrow M_3 + i\pi/2$ .

It is pointed out by Giacomini (1988b) that the partition function of the Ising model with a magnetic field  $\overline{H}$  on a lattice with an even number of sites (e.g. the honeycomb lattice) remains invariant when  $\overline{H}$  is transformed into  $\overline{H} + i\pi$ . He proved that

$$Z_{\text{Kag}}(K_1, K_2, K_3, H) = A^N Z_{\text{Kag}}(K_1', K_2', K_3', H')$$
(9)

where the Kagomé lattice with parameters  $K'_i$  and magnetic field H' can be transformed into a honeycomb lattice with a magnetic field  $\overline{H} + i\pi$ .  $K'_i$  and H' are functions of  $K_i$ and H, and explicit expressions are given by Giacomini (1988b) for the isotropic case  $K_1 = K_2 = K_3 = K$ . Unfortunately we cannot write down a similar relation for the magnetisation. We have

$$\langle \sigma_5 \rangle'_K = a' + b'(\langle S_1 \rangle + \langle S_2 \rangle) + c' \langle S_1 S_2 \rangle.$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

Since  $b/c \neq b'/c'$ , we cannot eliminate both  $(\langle S_1 \rangle + \langle S_2 \rangle)$  and  $\langle S_1 S_2 \rangle$  by taking a linear combination of (7) and (10). When H' = 0, we have  $a' = c' = \overline{H} = 0$  and it is well known that the magnetisation

$$\langle \sigma_5 \rangle'_K = \tanh(2M'_3)(\langle S_1 \rangle + \langle S_2 \rangle)/2 \tag{11}$$

can be obtained from the known expression for the spontaneous magnetisation of the honeycomb lattice Ising model (Syozi 1972). Substituting (11) into (7), we get

$$\langle \sigma_5 \rangle_K = a + 2b[\langle \sigma_5 \rangle'_K / \tanh(2M'_3)] + c\langle S_1 S_2 \rangle_{\text{honey}}$$
(12)

where  $\langle S_1 S_2 \rangle$  is the known nearest-neighbour spin-spin correlation of the honeycomb lattice Ising model at  $\overline{H} = 0$  (Baxter 1982). Consequently the magnetisation of the 3-12 lattice Ising model can be determined exactly when the condition H' = 0, which is equivalent to equation (15) of Giacomini (1988a), is satisfied.

## Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Dr Giacomini for sending preprints and the National Science Council of the Republic of China for financial support.

## References

Baxter R J 1982 Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics (New York: Academic) Giacomini H 1988a J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 L31 1988b J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 L599 Lin K Y and Wu F Y 1988 Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 2 471 Naya S 1951 Prog. Theor. Phys. 6 907

Syozi 1 1972 Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena vol 1, ed C Domb and M S Green (New York: Academic) p 269